

UPSC Civil Services Main 1982 - Mathematics

Linear Algebra

Brij Bhooshan

Asst. Professor

B.S.A. College of Engg & Technology

Mathura

Question 1(a) *Let \mathcal{V} be a vector space. If $\dim \mathcal{V} = n$ with $n > 0$, prove that*

- any set of n linearly independent vectors is a basis of \mathcal{V} .*
- \mathcal{V} cannot be generated by fewer than n vectors.*

Solution. From 1983 question 1(a) we get that any two bases of \mathcal{V} have n elements.

- Let $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ be n linearly independent vectors in \mathcal{V} . Then $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ generate \mathcal{V} — if $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}$ is such that \mathbf{v} is not a linear combination of $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$, then $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ are linearly independent, so $\dim \mathcal{V} > n$ which is not true. Thus $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ is a basis of \mathcal{V} — here we have used the technique used to complete any linearly independent set to a basis.
- \mathcal{V} cannot be generated by fewer than n vectors, because then it will have a basis consisting of less than n elements, which contradicts the fact that $\dim \mathcal{V} = n$.

■

Question 1(b) *Define a linear transformation. Prove that both the range and the kernel of a linear transformation are vector spaces.*

Solution. Let \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{W} be two vector spaces. A mapping $\mathbf{T} : \mathcal{V} \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}$ is said to be a linear transformation if

- $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2) = \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{v}_1) + \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{v}_2)$.
- $\mathbf{T}(\alpha\mathbf{v}) = \alpha\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{v})$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}$.

Range of $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{T}(\mathcal{V})$, kernel of $\mathbf{T} = \{\mathbf{v} \mid \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}\}$. If $\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \in \mathbf{T}(\mathcal{V})$, then $\mathbf{w}_1 = \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{v}_1)$, $\mathbf{w}_2 = \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{v}_2)$ for some $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2 \in \mathcal{V}$, $\alpha\mathbf{w}_1 + \beta\mathbf{w}_2 = \alpha\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{v}_1) + \beta\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{v}_2) = \mathbf{T}(\alpha\mathbf{v}_1 + \beta\mathbf{v}_2)$. But $\alpha\mathbf{v}_1 + \beta\mathbf{v}_2 \in \mathcal{V} \therefore \alpha\mathbf{w}_1 + \beta\mathbf{w}_2 \in \mathbf{T}(\mathcal{V})$, thus $\mathbf{T}(\mathcal{V})$ is a subspace of \mathcal{W} . Note that $\mathbf{T}(\mathcal{V}) \neq \emptyset \because \mathbf{0} \in \mathbf{T}(\mathcal{V})$ so $\mathbf{T}(\mathcal{V})$ is a vector space.

If $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2 \in \text{kernel } \mathbf{T}$ then $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{v}_1) = \mathbf{0}$, $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{v}_2) = \mathbf{0}$. Now $\mathbf{T}(\alpha\mathbf{v}_1 + \beta\mathbf{v}_2) = \alpha\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{v}_1) + \beta\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{v}_2) = \mathbf{0} \Rightarrow \alpha\mathbf{v}_1 + \beta\mathbf{v}_2 \in \text{kernel } \mathbf{T}$. Thus kernel \mathbf{T} is a subspace. kernel $\mathbf{T} \neq \emptyset, \forall \mathbf{0} \in \text{kernel } \mathbf{T}$ so kernel \mathbf{T} is a vector space. ■

Question 2(a) Reduce the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

to row echelon form.

Solution. Let the given matrix be called \mathbf{A} .

$$\text{Operation } R_1 - R_2 \Rightarrow \mathbf{A} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 & -3 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{Operation } R_2 - R_1, R_3 - R_1 \Rightarrow \mathbf{A} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 & -3 & 0 \\ 0 & -5 & 5 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{Operation } -\frac{1}{5}R_2, -\frac{1}{2}R_3 \Rightarrow \mathbf{A} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 & -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{Operation } R_3 - R_2 \Rightarrow \mathbf{A} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 & -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{Operation } R_1 - 4R_2 \Rightarrow \mathbf{A} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{Thus rank } \mathbf{A} = 2 \text{ and the row echelon form is } \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \blacksquare$$

Question 2(b) If \mathcal{V} is a vector space of dimension n and \mathbf{T} is a linear transformation on \mathcal{V} of rank r , prove that \mathbf{T} has nullity $n - r$.

Solution. See 1998 question 3(a). ■

Question 2(c) Show that the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned}3x + y - 5z &= -1 \\x - 2y + z &= -5 \\x + 5y - 7z &= 2\end{aligned}$$

is inconsistent.

Solution. From the first two equations, $(3x + y - 5z) - 2(x - 2y + z) = -1 - 2(-5) = 9 \Rightarrow x + 5y - 7z = 9$. But this is inconsistent with the third equation, hence the overall system is inconsistent. ■

Question 3(a) Prove that the trace of a matrix is equal to the sum of its characteristic roots.

Solution. The characteristic polynomial of \mathbf{A} is $|\lambda\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}| = \lambda^n + p_1\lambda^{n-1} + p_2\lambda^{n-2} + \dots + p_n$. Thus the sum of the roots of $|\lambda\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}| = -p_1 = a_{11} + a_{22} + \dots + a_{nn} = \text{tr } \mathbf{A}$. Thus the trace of $\mathbf{A} = \text{sum of the eigenvalues of } \mathbf{A}$. ■

Question 3(b) If \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} are two non-singular matrices of the same order, prove that \mathbf{AB} and \mathbf{BA} have the same eigenvalues.

Solution. See 1995 question 2(b). ■

Question 3(c) Find the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the matrix $\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & -\cos \theta \end{pmatrix}$.

Solution. The characteristic equation of \mathbf{A} is $(\cos \theta - \lambda)(-\cos \theta - \lambda) - \sin^2 \theta = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda^2 - 1 = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda = \pm 1$.

If (x_1, x_2) is an eigenvector for $\lambda = 1$, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta - 1 & \sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & -\cos \theta - 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{0}$$

Thus $x_1(\cos \theta - 1) + x_2 \sin \theta = 0$, $x_1 \sin \theta + x_2(-\cos \theta - 1) = 0$. We can take $x_1 = 1 + \cos \theta$, $x_2 = \sin \theta$.

Similarly if (x_1, x_2) is an eigenvector for $\lambda = -1$, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta + 1 & \sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & -\cos \theta + 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{0}$$

Thus $x_1(\cos \theta + 1) + x_2 \sin \theta = 0$, $x_1 \sin \theta + x_2(-\cos \theta + 1) = 0$. We can take $x_1 = 1 - \cos \theta$, $x_2 = -\sin \theta$ as an eigenvector. ■

Paper II

Question 4(a) If \mathcal{V} is finite dimensional and if \mathcal{W} is a subspace of \mathcal{V} , then show that \mathcal{W} is finite dimensional and $\dim \mathcal{W} \leq \dim \mathcal{V}$.

Solution. If $\mathcal{W} = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ then $\dim \mathcal{W} = 0 \leq \dim \mathcal{V}$. If $\mathcal{W} \neq \{\mathbf{0}\}$, let $\mathbf{v}_1 \in \mathcal{W}, \mathbf{v}_1 \neq \mathbf{0}$. Let \mathcal{W}_1 be the space spanned by \mathbf{v}_1 then \mathcal{W}_1 is of dimension 1. If $\mathcal{W}_1 = \mathcal{W}$, then $\dim \mathcal{W} = 1 \leq \dim \mathcal{V}$.

If $\mathcal{W}_1 \neq \mathcal{W}$, then there exists a $\mathbf{v}_2 \in \mathcal{W}, \mathbf{v}_2 \notin \mathcal{W}_1$. $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2$ are linearly independent — if $a\mathbf{v}_1 + b\mathbf{v}_2 = \mathbf{0}$, then if $b \neq 0$ then $\mathbf{v}_2 = -\frac{a}{b}\mathbf{v}_1 \Rightarrow \mathbf{v}_2 \in \mathcal{W}_1$, which is not true, hence $b = 0 \Rightarrow a = 0$. Now let \mathcal{W}_2 be the space spanned by $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2$ then \mathcal{W}_2 is of dimension 2. If $\mathcal{W}_2 = \mathcal{W}$, then $\dim \mathcal{W} = 2 \leq \dim \mathcal{V}$.

We continue the same reasoning as above, but this process must stop after at most r steps where $r \leq n$, otherwise we would have found $n + 1$ linearly independent vectors in \mathcal{V} , which is not possible. After r steps, we would have $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r$ which are linearly independent and span \mathcal{W} . Thus $\dim \mathcal{W} \leq \dim \mathcal{V}$, and \mathcal{W} is finite dimensional. ■

Question 5(a) State and prove the Cayley-Hamilton Theorem when the eigenvalues are all different.

Solution. See 1987 question 5(a). ■

Question 5(b) When are two real symmetric matrices said to be congruent? Is congruence an equivalence relation? Also show how you can find the signature of \mathbf{A} .

Solution. Two matrices \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} are said to be congruent to each other if there exists a nonsingular matrix \mathbf{P} such that $\mathbf{P}'\mathbf{A}\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{B}$.

Congruence is an equivalence relation:

- Reflexive: $\mathbf{A} \equiv \mathbf{A} \because \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{I}'\mathbf{A}\mathbf{I}$, \mathbf{I} is the unit matrix.
- Symmetric: $\mathbf{A} \equiv \mathbf{B} \Rightarrow \mathbf{P}'\mathbf{A}\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{B} \Rightarrow \mathbf{A} = (\mathbf{P}^{-1})'\mathbf{B}\mathbf{P}^{-1} \Rightarrow \mathbf{B} \equiv \mathbf{A}$.
- Transitive: $\mathbf{A} \equiv \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{B} \equiv \mathbf{C} \Rightarrow \mathbf{A} \equiv \mathbf{C} — \mathbf{P}'\mathbf{A}\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{Q}'\mathbf{B}\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{C} \Rightarrow \mathbf{Q}'\mathbf{P}'\mathbf{A}\mathbf{P}\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{C} \Rightarrow \mathbf{A} \equiv \mathbf{C}$ because $\mathbf{P}\mathbf{Q}$ is nonsingular as both \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q} are nonsingular.

Given a symmetric matrix \mathbf{A} , we first prove that there exists a nonsingular matrix \mathbf{P} such that $\mathbf{P}'\mathbf{A}\mathbf{P} = \text{diagonal}[\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r, 0, \dots, 0]$ where r is the rank of \mathbf{A} .

We will prove this by induction on the order n of the matrix \mathbf{A} . If $n = 1$, there is nothing to prove. Assume that the result is true for all matrices of order $< n$.

Step 1. We first ensure that we have $a_{11} \neq 0$. If it is 0, but some other $a_{kk} \neq 0$, we interchange the k -th row with the first row and the k -th column with the first column, to get $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{P}'\mathbf{A}\mathbf{P}$, where $b_{11} = a_{kk} \neq 0$. Note that \mathbf{P} is the elementary matrix \mathbf{E}_{1k} (see 1983 question 2(a)), and is hence nonsingular and symmetric, so \mathbf{B} is symmetric.

If all a_{ii} are 0, but some $a_{ij} \neq 0$. We add the j -th row to the i -th row and the j -th column to the i -th column by multiplying \mathbf{A} by $\mathbf{E}_{ij}(1)$ and its transpose, to get $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{E}_{ij}(1)\mathbf{A}\mathbf{E}_{ij}(1)'$

— now $b_{ii} = a_{ij} + a_{ji} \neq 0$. B is still symmetric, and we can shift b_{ii} to the leading place as above.

(Note that if all $a_{ij} = 0$, we stop.)

Thus we start with $a_{11} \neq 0$. We subtract $\frac{a_{1k}}{a_{11}}$ times the first row from the k -th row and $\frac{a_{1k}}{a_{11}}$ times the first column from the k -th column, by performing $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{E}_{k1}(-\frac{a_{1k}}{a_{11}})\mathbf{A}\mathbf{E}_{k1}(-\frac{a_{1k}}{a_{11}})'$. Repeating this for all k , $2 \leq k \leq n$, we get $\mathbf{P}'_1\mathbf{A}\mathbf{P}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{A}_1 \end{pmatrix}$, where \mathbf{A}_1 is $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ and \mathbf{P}_1 is nonsingular. Now by induction, $\exists \mathbf{P}_2, (n-1) \times (n-1)$ such that $\mathbf{P}'_2\mathbf{A}\mathbf{P}_2 = \text{diagonal}[\beta_2, \dots, \beta_r, 0, \dots, 0]$, $\text{rank } \mathbf{A}_1 = \text{rank } \mathbf{A} - 1$. Now set $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{P}_2 \end{pmatrix}$ to get the result.

Now that we have $\mathbf{P}'\mathbf{A}\mathbf{P} = \text{diagonal}[\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r, 0, \dots, 0]$, let us assume that $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s$ are positive, the rest are negative. Then let $\alpha_i = \beta_i^2, 1 \leq i \leq s, -\alpha_j = \beta_j^2, s+1 \leq j \leq r$. Set $\mathbf{Q} = \text{diagonal}[\beta_1^{-1}, \dots, \beta_r^{-1}, 1, \dots, 1]$. Then $\mathbf{x}'\mathbf{Q}'\mathbf{P}'\mathbf{A}\mathbf{P}\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{x} = x_1^2 + \dots + x_s^2 - x_{s+1}^2 - \dots - x_r^2$. Thus we can find the signature of \mathbf{A} by looking at the number of positive and negative squares of the RHS. ■

Question 5(c) Derive a set of necessary and sufficient conditions that the real quadratic form $\sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{i=1}^3 a_{ij}x_i x_j$ be positive definite.

Is $4x^2 + 9y^2 + 2z^2 + 8yz + 6zx + 6xy$ positive definite?

Solution. For the first part, see 1992 question 2(c).

$$\begin{aligned} Q(x, y, z) &= 4x^2 + 9y^2 + 2z^2 + 8yz + 6zx + 6xy \\ &= (2x + \frac{3}{2}y + \frac{3}{2}z)^2 + 9y^2 + 2z^2 + 8yz + -\frac{9}{2}yz - \frac{9}{4}y^2 - \frac{9}{4}z^2 \\ &= (2x + \frac{3}{2}y + \frac{3}{2}z)^2 + \frac{27}{4}y^2 - \frac{1}{4}z^2 - \frac{7}{2}yz \\ &= (2x + \frac{3}{2}y + \frac{3}{2}z)^2 + \frac{27}{4}(y^2 - \frac{1}{27}z^2 - \frac{14}{27}yz) \\ &= (2x + \frac{3}{2}y + \frac{3}{2}z)^2 + \frac{27}{4}(y - \frac{7}{27}z)^2 - \frac{1}{4}z^2 - \frac{49}{108}z^2 \end{aligned}$$

So set $X = 2x + \frac{3}{2}y + \frac{3}{2}z, Y = y - \frac{7}{27}z, Z = z$, then $Q(x, y, z)$ is transformed to $X^2 + \frac{27}{4}Y^2 - \frac{76}{108}Z^2$. Hence $Q(x, y, z)$ is not positive definite. ■